

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: MICHAEL S. LEBRUN *MSL*  
GENERAL MANAGER

DATE: JUNE 7, 2013

## AGENDA ITEM

### F

JUNE 12, 2013

## GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT

### ITEM

Standing report to your Honorable Board -- *Period covered by this report is May 17, 2013 through June 7, 2013.*

### DISTRICT BUSINESS

#### Administrative

- On Wednesday July 29, the Court upheld its tentative ruling (attached) granting the District's Motion to Strike in Mesa Community Alliance v. Nipomo Community Service District, CV13-0222.
- On Wednesday June 5, the Court upheld its tentative ruling (attached) denying MCA's request for a Preliminary Injunction in Mesa Community Alliance v. Nipomo Community Service District, CV13-0222.
- On Thursday May 30, the District sold \$2,845,000 in bonds to refund Series 2003 Certificates of Participation. A closing summary prepared by District Financial Advisor C.M. de Crinis & Co., INC. and closing instructions prepared by under writer Citigroup Global Markets INC. are attached.
- A summary of District expenditures on supplemental water development since July 2004 is attached. During April 2013, \$105,292 was expended on supplemental water development. Since July 2007, the District has expended over \$4.5M on the project.
- Most recent data from Nipomo area County rain gauges recorded no rain during the past two weeks. The Nipomo South gauge (Sensor 730) is reporting 7 inches of cumulative rain fall this season or 44% of the 16-inch annual average. The Nipomo North gauge (Sensor 730) is reporting 5.9 inches of cumulative rain fall this season or 33% of the 18 inch annual average. Twitchell Reservoir reports 0.0% capacity (at gauge minimum); Lopez Lake stands at 71.7% capacity (35,425 Acre-feet).

#### Safety Program

- No accidents or injuries to report

#### Public Outreach

The following information is attached to the Manager's Report:

- A summary of outreach and education activities
- May 31 Inside NCSD column
- Water Conservation advertisement
- 2013 Press Release Log
- Press releases over past two weeks

- News articles over past two weeks
- Web site traffic report and analysis

**Monthly Connection Report**

Report is updated near the 5<sup>th</sup> of the month when bills are issued.

Nipomo Community Services District

Water and Sewer Connections

**End of Month Report 2013**

	DEC-12	JAN-13	FEB-13	MAR-13	APR-13	MAY-13	JUN-13	JUL-13
Water Connections (Total)	4259	4268	4268	4268	4268	4287	4287	
Sewer Connections (Total)	3055	3064	3064	3064	3064	3083	3083	
Meters turned off (Non-payment)	20	18	34	32	14	20	13	
Meters off (Vacant)	52	55	57	56	58	57	55	
Sewer Connections off (Vacant)	20	22	24	22	23	24	20	
New Water Connections	12	9	0	0	0	2	0	
New Sewer Connection	12	9	0	0	0	2	0	
Galaxy & PSHH at Orchard and Division Sewer Connections billed to the County	462	462	462	462	462	462	462	

**Meetings**

*Meetings Attended (telephonically or in person):*

- *May 22, Regular Board Meeting*
- *May 23, Supplemental Water Project financing*
- *May 23, Management coordination*
- *May 24, General Counsel coordination*
- *June 3, Board Officer coordination*
- *June 4, Supplemental Water Right of Way*
- *June 4, Engineering and Operations coordination*
- *June 4, NMMA Technical Group*
- *June 5, MCA Lawsuit Court Hearing*
- *June 5, SLO County Public Works Director*
- *June 5, Water Resources Advisory Committee*
- *June 6, Public Information Assistant*

*Meetings Scheduled:*

- *June 11, Rating Call*
- *June 12, Regular Board Meeting*
- *June 13, City of Santa Maria Utilities Director*
- *June 13, Management coordination*
- *June 14, General Counsel coordination*
- *June 17, Board Officer coordination*

**RECOMMENDATION**

Staff seeks direction and input from your Honorable Board

**ATTACHMENTS**

- A. May 29 Tentative Ruling
- B. June 5 Tentative Ruling
- C. May 30 Bond Sale Summary
- D. June 11 Closing Instructions
- E. Summary of Supplemental Water Expenditures
- F. Outreach Program Materials

JUNE 12, 2013

ITEM F

ATTACHMENT A

*Mesa Community Alliance v. Nipomo Community Services District, CV13-0222*

**Hearing: Defendant's Motion to Strike**

Mesa Community Alliance (MCA) brings this writ of mandate against the Nipomo Community Services District (District) challenging the District's approval of the use of funds from Fund 805 to help finance the construction of Phase 1 of the Supplemental Water Project.

The District is the provider of water to approximately 4,200 customers in the Nipomo Mesa. The District agreed to purchase and distribute a minimum of 2,500 acre feet of water per year from the City of Santa Maria. To meet that obligation, the District developed and approved the Supplemental Water Project (Project) which requires the construction of the necessary pipeline and supporting infrastructure to deliver the water.

In 2012, the District sought public approval of the formation of a Special Assessment District to finance construction of the Project. The proposed special district was rejected by the voters. Thereafter, the District chose to pursue the use of \$4,000,000 from its Fund 805 to pay for a portion of the construction of Phase 1. Fund 805 consists of monetary reserves that are specifically set aside to fund replacement of the District's existing capital assets. Pursuant to Gov. Code §62111, reserve funds that are designated for specific purposes can only be used by the District for those designated purposes. MCA concludes the District's use of Fund 805 is a violation of Gov. Code §62111.

MCA's petition consists of two writ of mandate causes of action. The first cause of action alleges the District abused its discretion when it approved Resolution 2013-1302 authorizing the use of funds from Fund 805 to pay for Phase 1 of the Project. The second cause of action alleges the District abused its discretion in concluding Resolution 2013-1302 will increase the useful life of existing capital assets and the adoption of the resolution was procedurally unfair. MCA seeks peremptory writs invalidating the approval of the Project and Resolution 2013-1302; it requests a declaratory judgment that the District violated Gov. Code §62111; and, it seeks injunctive relief prohibiting the District from taking any action in furtherance of the Project.

The District moves to strike the portions of the petition that challenge any approval of the Project and a claim for injunctive relief prohibiting advancement of the Project. The District does not attack MCA's challenge to the passage of Resolution 2013-1302. Rather, the District argues MCA's "bare statements" that dispute the validity of the Project and prohibit the District's furtherance of the Project are "completely untethered" to any factual allegations or legal propositions. For example, the petition, at Paragraph 1, alleges MCA seeks "to set aside NCSA's approval of the Supplemental Water Project, Phase 1. . ." Likewise, Paragraph 14 requests the Court set aside approval of the Project as well as Resolution 2013-1302. However, the factual allegations of both causes of

action are limited to a challenge to the District's adoption of Resolution 2013-1302. In other words, there are no facts or legal theories alleged that support MCA's assertion that the approval of the Project should be set aside, independent of the adoption of Resolution 2013-1302.

As to MCA's prayer for injunctive relief prohibiting the District from proceeding with the Project, the District emphasizes that it recently approved an alternative finance plan that does not utilize funds from Fund 805. The District is concerned the allegations in the petition challenging the approval of the Project will undermine its efforts to continue with the Project under alternative financing.

In opposition, MCA asserts: "To the extent the District's approval of the Project in its current configuration illegally relies on Fund 805 funds, the approval must be set aside and the District should be enjoined from re-authorizing the Project unless and until the District has identified sufficient funds to pay for the construction of the Project." MCA then proceeds to challenge the validity of the District's recently enacted alternative financing proposal, which was adopted after filing of the petition.

Fundamental to the motion to strike is whether or not the petition alleges any basis in fact and law to challenge the approval of the Project, in addition to challenging Resolution 2013-1302. Contrary to MCA's assertion, whether or not the District has a valid alternative plan for financing is not alleged as a basis to either set aside the approval of the Project or enjoin any actions in furtherance of the Project. Likewise, MCA's concern that if the District proceeds ahead with the Project without the funds from Fund 805, then it will expose its ratepayers to financial liability and potential insolvency is not alleged as a basis to set aside the approval of the Project. The alleged facts and causes of action in the petition state a valid challenge to the approval of Resolution 2013-1302

The District's motion to strike is granted. Petitioner will be allowed to file an amended pleading within twenty (20) days. (See Weil & Brown, *Cal. Prac. Guide, Civil Pro. Before Trial*, §7:201, et seq.)

JUNE 12, 2013

ITEM F

ATTACHMENT B

*Mesa Community Alliance v. Nipomo CSD, CV13-0222*

**Hearing: Petitioner's Motion for Preliminary Injunction**

Mesa Community Alliance (MCA) brings this writ against the Nipomo Community Services District (District) challenging the District's approval of the use of funds from Fund 805 to help finance the construction of Phase 1 of the Supplemental Water Project.

The District was a party to the Santa Maria River Basin lawsuit in which it agreed to purchase and distribute a minimum of 2,500 acre feet of water per year from the City of Santa Maria. To meet that obligation, the District developed and approved the Supplemental Water Project (Project) which requires the construction of the necessary pipeline and supporting infrastructure to deliver the water.

After the District's proposal for a Special Assessment District was rejected by the voters, the District chose to use \$4,000,000 from its Fund 805 to pay for a portion of the construction of Phase 1. Fund 805 consists of monetary reserves that are set aside to fund replacement of the District's existing capital assets. MCA asserts the District's use of Fund 805 is a violation of Gov. Code §61112.

Pending the resolution of the writ petition, MCA moves for a preliminary injunction to enjoin the District from "taking any actions in furtherance of the Project." The District opposes any interim injunctive relief.

MCA contends it is entitled to injunctive relief under CCP §§1094.5 and 526. According to MCA, CCP §1094.5(g) allows the Court to stay the operation of an administrative order or decision pending final judgment, as long as it is not against the public interest. However, the District contends the petition is subject to CCP §1085 and not §1094.5. Regardless, there is little analysis or evidence presented by MCA that shows that granting of the stay would not be against the public interest. In contrast, the District provides substantial evidence that the delay caused by granting the injunction would be more likely to cause financial harm to the public through substantially increased costs. It therefore appears that granting the injunction would be against the public interest under the facts and circumstances present here.

Under CCP §526, the issuance of a preliminary injunction is proper when there is a threat of irreparable harm. Weil & Brown, *California Practice Guide, Civil Pro. Before Trial*, 9:523. The Court's discretion in issuing a preliminary injunction is governed by two interrelated factors: (1) Are the plaintiffs likely to suffer greater injury from denial of the injunction than the defendants are likely to suffer if it is granted? *Shoemaker v. County of Los Angeles* (1995) 37 Cal.App.4th 618, 633, and (2) Is there a reasonable probability that the plaintiffs will prevail on the merits? *Robbins v. Sup.Ct. (County of Sacramento)* (1985) 38 Cal.3d 199, 206.

MCA asserts that it is entitled to a preliminary injunction because it will prevail on the merits of the petition and will suffer “substantial and irreparable financial harm unless the District is enjoined from committing to construction contracts in reliance on the Fund 805 funds.”

In reaching its decision to adopt Resolution 2013-1302, the District made specific findings that use of Fund 805 funds is “consistent” with the purpose of Fund 805. Contrary to MCA’s assertions, no authority has been cited that a finding of “consistency” is not compliant with the intent of Gov. Code §61112. In other words, MCA has not established that it has a reasonable probability of prevailing on its claim that use of Fund 805 funds for the Project violates Gov. Code §61112 where, as here, the District makes a finding that the use of the funds is consistent with the purpose of Fund 805 because the Project will extend the useful life of the assets.

Likewise, the District also determined the \$4,000,000 amount was not needed for the purposes for which Fund 805 was established. Pursuant to Gov. Code §61112(c), the District’s board of directors, on a four-fifths vote, has the authority to transfer any funds that are deemed no longer required for the purpose for which the board of directors established the designated reserve. MCA fails to cite to any authority that supports its contention that the District was required to make an express finding that the funds are “no longer” needed for short-term or long-term capital replacement. Again, it has not been established that MCA will probably prevail on the merits of the petition on these grounds.

More importantly, there is no evidence to establish that MCA will suffer irreparable harm or that it will likely suffer greater injury from denial of the injunction than the District would suffer if it is granted.

For there to be irreparable injury, the Court must look for more than a mere dispute. There must be an imminent threat that, unless injunctive relief is granted, someone will be significantly hurt in a manner that cannot be later repaired. *Korean Philadelphia Presbyterian Church v. California Presbytery* (2000) 77 Cal.App.4th 1069, 1084; *People ex rel. Gow v. Mitchell Brothers' Santa Ana Theater* (1981) 118 Cal.App.3d 863, 871; Weil & Brown, *California Practice Guide, Civil Pro. Before Trial*, §9:522. Here, MCA simply speculates that if the District is not enjoined from entering into construction contracts, then MCA and the other rate-payers “could be exposed to significant damage claims for breach of contract.” The only evidence offered by MCA is a declaration from its member, William Petrick, who opines that the District is attempting to push through the Project that “is too costly and could potentially result in financial disaster.” There is no showing that there is an imminent threat of irreparable injury. All that has been established is a fear of potential “financial disaster.”

Also, on May 10, 2013, the District adopted an alternate finance plan which would use an additional \$4,000,000 in Certificates of Participation (COPs) in lieu of the funds from Fund 805. The District is apparently assessing this alternate financing in light of this pending litigation. If alternate financing is undertaken, then there is little risk that MCA and the other rate-payers would potentially be exposed to breach of contract claims.

With regard to the balance of hardships, MCA asserts that it will suffer greater damage if the injunction is not granted because its members and the other rate-payers could be faced with multiple breach of contract claims if it is successful in setting aside Resolution 2013-1302. Because of the availability of alternative financing, there is no evidence that MCA members will necessarily be injured in this manner if the preliminary injunction is denied but a permanent injunction is granted.

In opposition, the District provides admissible declarations that establish the damages that it will most likely suffer if the preliminary injunction is granted but a permanent injunction is denied. The permit issued by the Department of Fish and Wildlife requires that the work be performed between April 15 and October 31. If construction contracts are not awarded by June 26, 2013, the Project will be delayed until 2014, and will cost the District \$100,000 in re-qualifying contractors for re-bidding. Also, any further delay could jeopardize a \$2,200,000 grant from the Department of Water Resources. Finally, if an injunction is granted preventing the District's use of funds from Fund 805, then the District may be required to proceed with the alternate finance plan which will substantially increase the costs of the Project.

Based upon the evidence presented, the balancing of hardships weighs heavily in favor of the District. In other words, the District is more likely to suffer substantially greater damage if the injunction is granted, as compared to MCA if the injunction is denied.

MCA's motion for preliminary injunction is therefore denied. MCA fails to establish a reasonable probability that it will prevail on the merits of its claims; fails to establish it will suffer irreparable harm; and fails to show it will suffer greater injury when considering the balance of hardships. The parties' respective requests for judicial notice are granted.

JUNE 12, 2013

ITEM F

ATTACHMENT C



C.M. de CRINIS & CO., INC.

## MEMORANDUM

**DATE:** May 30, 2013

**TO:** Michael LeBrun – General Manager  
Lisa Bognuda – Finance Director

**FROM:** Curt de Crinis 

**RE:** Nipomo Community Services District  
Water Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2013

---

On Thursday May 30<sup>th</sup> the District sold \$2,845,000 in bonds (the Series 2013 A Bonds) by negotiated sale to Citigroup Global Markets ("Citi"). Citi was hired as underwriter as a result of an RFP process earlier in the month. The District elected to undertake a negotiated sale of bonds due to the threat of and filing of litigation against the District involving phase I of the Supplemental Water Project. The initial financing plan was to include the Series 2003 COP refunding as part of the supplemental water project financing. The District subsequently decided to separate out the refunding of the \$3,235,000 in Series 2003 COPS from the new money borrowing for the Supplemental Water Project due to delays and uncertainty caused by the unresolved litigation and desire to lock in savings.

The Series 2013 A Bonds received a rating from Standard and Poor's of "A", a strong rating. The rating was below that of the "AA" rated Series 2012 Wastewater Bonds due primarily to uncertainty over the impact of the supplemental water project on future system revenues. The Series 2013 A Bonds benefited since the Nipomo CSD is coastal California, has strong financials, and the Series 2013 Bonds are secured by water and property tax revenues.

As you know bond market conditions have been very unsettled over the past week and interest rates had been rising daily. However, market interest rates on the day of pricing

(May 30<sup>th</sup>) were fortunately stable allowing Citi to market and underwrite the bonds with a minimum of re pricing. The Bonds were also qualified for bond insurance by Build America Mutual (BAM) and the bond insurance and debt service reserve surety policy used. The bond insurance raised the bond rating to "AA" and lowered yields by 10 to 15 basis points on average. The use of the bond reserve fund surety policy also lowered the bond issue size since it was not necessary to fund a bond reserve fund. The refunding reduced the principal outstanding from \$3,235,000 to \$2,845,000 resulting in less debt.

In the Staff Report dated May 22, 2013, it was estimated that the true interest rate cost of the Series 2013 A Bonds would be 3.5% with present value savings of \$216,806 and total savings of \$353,194. The final result has the true interest cost of 3.68% with present value savings of \$192,836 and total gross savings of \$262,898. This equates to a NPV savings of 5.96% of the bonds refunded VS the 6.5% estimated in the Staff Report. This is a good result given market conditions.

The NPV and gross savings analysis are calculated on a net debt service basis. Net debt service is actual debt service after accounting for bond reserve fund interest earnings and the application of the bond reserve fund to the last scheduled debt service payment. Citigroup's savings analysis assumes an average reinvestment rate of 2.35% on the Bond Reserve Fund of the refunded Series 2003 Bonds over the remaining bond term. The five year treasury yields 1.02% currently and the average LAIF annual yield for the past ten years is 2.301%.

Savings were also verified by the Verification Agent, Barthe & Wharman, a CPA firm. The Bond Indenture requires a Verification Report be done on any refunding.

Ignoring the time value of money and future interest rate earning assumptions, total debt service is reduced from \$5,082,715 to \$4,303,133, a reduction of \$779,582. At closing the District will be contributing \$398,015, to pay off the Series 2003 Bonds consisting of \$146,334 (the difference between the old September 1, 2013 debt service and the new September 1, 2013 debt service) and the \$251,681 2003 Refunded Bond Reserve Fund, so these amounts go against savings.

Average annual debt service on the Series 2013 A Bonds is \$226,480. This is below the amount of \$234,000 estimated in the Staff Report and the \$245,417 in remaining average 2003 debt service. This reduction in annual debt service is primarily due to a reduced bond size as a result of applying the old bond reserve fund to the refunding and not borrowing a new bond reserve fund. The final bond term is also one year shorter due to the use of the bond reserve fund surety policy.

Since May 22, interest rates (yields) on the AAA rated general obligation bonds increased about 15 to 20 basis points which impacted all bonds including the Series 2013 A Bonds. Much of this increase occurred in the last week over speculation of less Fed Action to stimulate the economy. Additionally, interest rate spreads over the AAA GO bond rates also increased. Interest rate spreads over the AAA GO bond rates for the Series 2013 A Bonds averaged about 1.3%. This spread is slightly higher than the 1.2% spread generally seen on A rated Revenue Bonds earlier in the month. A portion of this spread increase is due to deteriorating market conditions, and a portion specific to Nipomo for being a smaller issuer with a relatively small issue. The litigation also likely influenced the pricing.

In conclusion, the District successfully refunded its 2003 Bonds for acceptable cash flow and NPV savings and reduced the principal amount of debt outstanding. Successfully completing the refunding and obtaining the bond rating and bond insurance provides the District and the underwriters with additional confidence that financing of the Phase I Improvements can be accomplished, if and when the Court permits. Investors, the Rating Agency, and the Bond Insurer were made aware of the strong possibility of the additional financing.

## SAVINGS

NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
 Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A  
 As of 10:30 AM PT, May 30, 2013  
 \*\*FINAL VERIFIED NUMBERS\*\*

Date	Prior Debt Service	Prior Receipts	Prior Net Cash Flow	Refunding Debt Service	Savings	Present Value to 06/11/2013 @ 3.6015738%
09/01/2013	174,367.50	149,228.50	25,139.00	28,033.33	-2,894.33	-4,027.64
09/01/2014	245,035.00	5,788.66	239,246.34	226,150.00	13,096.34	12,650.15
09/01/2015	246,185.00	5,788.66	240,396.34	223,150.00	17,246.34	16,033.02
09/01/2016	247,090.00	5,788.66	241,301.34	225,150.00	16,151.34	14,485.99
09/01/2017	247,580.00	5,788.66	241,791.34	225,950.00	15,841.34	13,709.00
09/01/2018	247,750.00	5,788.66	241,961.34	226,550.00	15,411.34	12,868.20
09/01/2019	247,590.00	5,788.66	241,801.34	225,800.00	16,001.34	12,893.71
09/01/2020	247,090.00	5,788.66	241,301.34	224,800.00	16,501.34	12,831.44
09/01/2021	246,240.00	5,788.66	240,451.34	223,550.00	16,901.34	12,682.44
09/01/2022	245,030.00	5,788.66	239,241.34	222,050.00	17,191.34	12,448.26
09/01/2023	248,520.00	5,788.66	242,731.34	225,300.00	17,431.34	12,179.88
09/01/2024	246,470.00	5,788.66	240,681.34	228,050.00	12,631.34	8,538.32
09/01/2025	244,107.50	5,788.66	238,318.84	225,300.00	13,018.84	8,491.67
09/01/2026	246,427.50	5,788.66	240,638.84	227,300.00	13,338.84	8,395.30
09/01/2027	248,267.50	5,788.66	242,478.84	228,800.00	13,678.84	8,307.41
09/01/2028	244,627.50	5,788.66	238,838.84	224,800.00	14,038.84	8,227.07
09/01/2029	245,747.50	5,788.66	239,958.84	222,400.00	17,558.84	9,903.81
09/01/2030	246,387.50	5,788.66	240,598.84	224,800.00	15,798.84	8,596.20
09/01/2031	246,547.50	5,788.66	240,758.84	226,800.00	13,958.84	7,325.98
09/01/2032	246,227.50	5,788.66	240,438.84	218,400.00	22,038.84	11,128.79
09/01/2033	225,427.50	257,469.67	-32,042.17		-32,042.17	-15,547.66
	5,082,715.00	516,682.71	4,566,032.29	4,303,133.33	262,898.96	192,121.33

Savings Summary

PV of savings from cash flow	192,121.33
Plus: Refunding funds on hand	715.51
Net PV Savings	192,836.84

Note: Assumes prior reserve earns 2.3%

## SUMMARY OF REFUNDING RESULTS

NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A  
As of 10:30 AM PT, May 30, 2013  
\*\*FINAL VERIFIED NUMBERS\*\*

Dated Date	06/11/2013
Delivery Date	06/11/2013
Arbitrage yield	3.601574%
Escrow yield	
Bond Par Amount	2,845,000.00
True Interest Cost	3.682490%
Net Interest Cost	3.832533%
Average Coupon	4.431717%
Average Life	11.565
Par amount of refunded bonds	3,235,000.00
Average coupon of refunded bonds	4.741345%
Average life of refunded bonds	11.777
PV of prior debt to 06/11/2013 @ 3.601574%	3,608,856.91
Net PV Savings	192,836.84
Percentage savings of refunded bonds	5.960953%
Percentage savings of refunding bonds	6.778096%

## SAVINGS

NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
 Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A  
 As of 10:30 AM PT, May 30, 2013  
 \*\*FINAL VERIFIED NUMBERS\*\*

Date	Prior Debt Service	Prior Receipts	Prior Net Cash Flow	Refunding Debt Service	Savings	Present Value to 06/11/2013 @ 3.6015738%
09/01/2013	174,367.50	149,228.50	25,139.00	28,033.33	-2,894.33	-4,027.64
09/01/2014	245,035.00	5,788.66	239,246.34	226,150.00	13,096.34	12,650.15
09/01/2015	246,185.00	5,788.66	240,396.34	223,150.00	17,246.34	16,033.02
09/01/2016	247,090.00	5,788.66	241,301.34	225,150.00	16,151.34	14,485.99
09/01/2017	247,580.00	5,788.66	241,791.34	225,950.00	15,841.34	13,709.00
09/01/2018	247,750.00	5,788.66	241,961.34	226,550.00	15,411.34	12,868.20
09/01/2019	247,590.00	5,788.66	241,801.34	225,800.00	16,001.34	12,893.71
09/01/2020	247,090.00	5,788.66	241,301.34	224,800.00	16,501.34	12,831.44
09/01/2021	246,240.00	5,788.66	240,451.34	223,550.00	16,901.34	12,682.44
09/01/2022	245,030.00	5,788.66	239,241.34	222,050.00	17,191.34	12,448.26
09/01/2023	248,520.00	5,788.66	242,731.34	225,300.00	17,431.34	12,179.88
09/01/2024	246,470.00	5,788.66	240,681.34	228,050.00	12,631.34	8,538.32
09/01/2025	244,107.50	5,788.66	238,318.84	225,300.00	13,018.84	8,491.67
09/01/2026	246,427.50	5,788.66	240,638.84	227,300.00	13,338.84	8,395.30
09/01/2027	248,267.50	5,788.66	242,478.84	228,800.00	13,678.84	8,307.41
09/01/2028	244,627.50	5,788.66	238,838.84	224,800.00	14,038.84	8,227.07
09/01/2029	245,747.50	5,788.66	239,958.84	222,400.00	17,558.84	9,903.81
09/01/2030	246,387.50	5,788.66	240,598.84	224,800.00	15,798.84	8,596.20
09/01/2031	246,547.50	5,788.66	240,758.84	226,800.00	13,958.84	7,325.98
09/01/2032	246,227.50	5,788.66	240,438.84	218,400.00	22,038.84	11,128.79
09/01/2033	225,427.50	257,469.67	-32,042.17		-32,042.17	-15,547.66
	5,082,715.00	516,682.71	4,566,032.29	4,303,133.33	262,898.96	192,121.33

Savings Summary

PV of savings from cash flow	192,121.33
Plus: Refunding funds on hand	715.51
Net PV Savings	192,836.84

Note: Assumes prior reserve earns 2.3%

## SUMMARY OF REFUNDING RESULTS

NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A  
As of 10:30 AM PT, May 30, 2013  
\*\*FINAL VERIFIED NUMBERS\*\*

Dated Date	06/11/2013
Delivery Date	06/11/2013
Arbitrage yield	3.601574%
Escrow yield	
Bond Par Amount	2,845,000.00
True Interest Cost	3.682490%
Net Interest Cost	3.832533%
Average Coupon	4.431717%
Average Life	11.565
Par amount of refunded bonds	3,235,000.00
Average coupon of refunded bonds	4.741345%
Average life of refunded bonds	11.777
PV of prior debt to 06/11/2013 @ 3.601574%	3,608,856.91
Net PV Savings	192,836.84
Percentage savings of refunded bonds	5.960953%
Percentage savings of refunding bonds	6.778096%

JUNE 12, 2013

ITEM F

ATTACHMENT D

**CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS INC.**

---

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO:** Nipomo Community Services District Bond Financing Team  
**FROM:** Citigroup Global Markets Inc.  
**RE:** Closing Details and Instructions

\$ 2,845,000  
Nipomo Community Services District  
Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A

**DATE:** June 11, 2013

---

The closing for the \$2,845,000 Nipomo Community Services District (“the District”) Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2013A (the “Bonds”) will occur on June 11, 2013.

Pre-Closing for the above transaction will take place at 1:00 PM (Pacific Time) on Monday, June 10, 2013. Bond Counsel will confirm that all required documents have been received in order to proceed to closing on June 11, 2013. The pre-closing will be held at:

*Fulbright & Jaworski LLP  
555 South Flower Street, 41<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071*

The closing will start at 8:00am PT on June 11<sup>th</sup> and will take place via conference call using the dial-in information below:

*Conference Call Dial-In: 719.325.2771 // Passcode: 658 519 9572#*

**Summary Information**

The sources and uses of funds for the Bonds are as follows:

<u>Sources</u>	<u>Series 2013A</u>
Par Amount	\$ 2,845,000.00
Premium	225,543.70
Prior Bond Funds on Hand	136,106.98
2003 COP Debt Service Reserve Fund	261,908.20
<b>Total Sources:</b>	<b>\$ 3,468,558.88</b>
<u>Uses:</u>	
Refunding Escrow	
Cash Deposit	\$3,281,686.26
Costs of Issuance	136,265.51
Underwriter’s Discount	28,398.77
Build America Mutual – Insurance (Policy #2103B0234)	14,200.34
Build America Mutual – Surety (Policy #2013R0234)	8,008.00
<b>Total Uses:</b>	<b>\$ 3,468,558.88</b>

The Purchase Price is determined as follows:

	<u>Series 2013A</u>
Par Amount	\$ 2,845,000.00
Premium	225,543.70
- Underwriter’s Discount	28,398.77
<b>Purchase Price:</b>	<b>\$ 3,042,144.93</b>

The use of funds from the Purchase Price is determined as follows:

	<u>Series 2013A</u>
Purchase Price of the Bonds	\$ 3,042,144.93
- Wire from Citi to Bank of New York Mellon	3,019,936.59
- Wire from Citi to Build America Mutual (#2013B0234)	14,200.34
- Wire from Citi to Build America Mutual (#2013R0234)	8,008.00
<i>Remaining Balance:</i>	<u>\$ 0.00</u>

**Series 2013A: Transfer of Funds**

***Prior Debt Service Fund Transfer***

1. Prior to 8:00am PT on June 11<sup>th</sup>, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, as **Trustee**, will transfer the amount of **\$136,106.98** from the funds and accounts established in connection with the Series 2003 Revenue Certificates of Participation to the Escrow Fund.

***Prior Reserve Release***

2. Prior to 8:00am PT on June 11<sup>th</sup>, The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, as **Trustee**, will transfer the amount of **\$261,908.20** from the Series 2003 Revenue Certificates of Participation Debt Service Reserve Fund to the Escrow Fund.

***Series 2013A Bonds***

3. Prior to 8:00am PT on June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2013, **Citigroup Global Markets Inc.** will wire transfer the Purchase Price of the Bonds in the amount of **\$3,019,936.59** to the **Trustee**, in accordance with the following instructions:

Wiring Instructions:

Amount:	<b>\$3,019,936.59</b>
Bank:	The Bank of New York
ABA:	021000018
A/C:	111-565
Ref:	#743639, Nipomo Revenue 2013A
Attn:	Gonzalo Urey (213) 630-6237

4. Prior to 8:00am PT on June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2013, **Citigroup Global Markets Inc.** will wire transfer the Purchase Price of the Bonds in the amount of **\$14,200.34** to the **Build America Mutual**, in accordance with the following instructions:

Wiring Instructions:

Amount:	<b>\$14,200.34</b>
Bank:	First Republic Bank
ABA:	321081669
Acct. Name:	Build America Mutual Assurance Company
Account No:	80001110551
Ref:	POLICY# 2013B0234 (Include in OBI Field)
Attn:	Nolan Miller (212) 235-2511

5. Prior to 8:00am PT on June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2013, **Citigroup Global Markets Inc.** will wire transfer the Purchase Price of the Bonds in the amount of **\$8,008.00** to the **Build America Mutual**, in accordance with the following instructions:

Wiring Instructions:

Amount:	<b>\$8,008.00</b>
Bank:	First Republic Bank
ABA:	321081669
Acct. Name:	Build America Mutual Assurance Company
Account No:	80001110551
Ref:	POLICY# 2013R0234 (Include in OBI Field)
Attn:	Nolan Miller (212) 235-2511

**Closing**

6. After confirming that the wire has been received and the other documents are complete, Bond Counsel, the Underwriter, and the Trustee will call DTC to release the Bonds, and the issue will be closed.

Citi's wire will be coordinated by Mary Jo Murphy. She can be contacted at (212) 723-7095.

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company will serve as the Trustee. Urey Gonzalo is the Trustee contact. She can be contacted at (213) 630-6237.

**Responsibilities of the Trustee**

After closing, on June 11<sup>th</sup>, 2013, and only upon confirmation from Citi, Bond Counsel, and the Trustee that the issue is closed, the Trustee will allocate the **\$3,019,936.59** from the Purchase Price of the Bonds and **\$136,106.98**, constituting the amount transferred to Escrow Fund from the funds and accounts established in connection with the Series 2003 Revenue Certificates of Participation, and **\$261,908.20**, constituting the amount transferred to the Escrow Fund from the Series 2003 Revenue Certificates of Participation Debt Service Reserve Fund, for the purposes of prepaying the Series 2003 Revenue Certificates of Participation, as follows:

7. **Deposit to Escrow Fund: \$3,281,686.26** will remain in the Escrow Fund as uninvested cash.
8. **Payment of Costs of Issuance:** The Trustee will transfer **\$136,265.51** of the funds received from proceeds of the Bonds into the Costs of Issuance Fund and make payments in accordance with instructions from the District.

JUNE 12, 2013

ITEM F

ATTACHMENT E

**NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
SUPPLEMENTAL WATER PROJECT  
MONTHLY REPORT TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
(FY JUNE 30, 2013)**

REVENUES FY 2012-13	<u>MONTH OF</u> <u>APRIL</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR</u> <u>7/1/2012 TO</u> <u>6/30/2013</u>
Supplemental Water Capacity Fees Collected	202,565.00	323,697.62
Interest Income (monthly & quarterly posting)	68.39	1,174.27
Revenue Subtotal	<u>202,633.39</u>	<u>324,871.89</u>
 EXPENDITURES FY 2012-2013 (1)		
<u>CONSULTANTS</u>		
1590-A2 EIR Preparation	0.00	0.00
<u>LEGAL</u>		
1590-B1 Shipsey & Seitz	16,086.40	19,852.80
<u>LAND ACQUISITION</u>		
1590-C1 Appraisals	0.00	0.00
1590-C2 Property Negotiations	19,635.60	36,504.22
1590-C3 Property Acquisitions	16,605.00	30,460.00
<u>FINANCIAL</u>		
1590-D1 Tuckfield & Associates	2,733.75	10,233.75
<u>ENGINEERING</u>		
1590-E1 Preliminary Engineering Design (AECOM)	0.00	982.80
1590-E2 Water Modeling by Carollo (City of Santa Maria)	0.00	0.00
1590-E3 Alternative Water Supplies (AECOM)	0.00	0.00
1590-E4 Project Information (AECOM)	0.00	0.00
1590-E5 Project Design (AECOM)	32,179.65	194,163.95
1590-E6 Pressure Testing	0.00	0.00
1590-E7 Peer Review	0.00	0.00
1590-E8 Pot Holing	0.00	0.00
1590-E9 Phasing Feasibility Study	0.00	2,968.85
<u>OTHER</u>		
1590-F1 FGL Environmental	0.00	0.00
1590-F2 Print/Copy	2,094.04	9,737.39
<u>PERMITS</u>		
1590-G1 Santa Maria Valley Water Conservation District	0.00	0.00
<u>CONSTRUCTION</u>		
1590-I1 Construction Management (MNS)	450.00	9,045.00
<u>OTHER</u>		
1590-J1 Suppl Water Alternatives Evaluation Committee	15,508.15	44,610.65
Expenditure Subtotal	<u>105,292.59</u>	<u>358,559.41</u>
Net Revenues less Expenditures	97,340.80	(33,687.52)
Beginning Fund #500 Balance as of July 1, 2012		1,155,903.10
Ending Fund #500 Balance as of April 30, 2013		<u>1,122,215.58</u>

(1) See attached "Supplemental Water Cost Summary" for more detail.

NIPOMO COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT  
SUPPLEMENTAL WATER COST SUMMARY

A/C #	DESCRIPTION	7/1/2004 TO 6/30/2005	7/1/2005 TO 6/30/2006	7/1/2007 TO 6/30/2008	7/1/2008 TO 6/30/2009	7/1/2009 TO 6/30/2010	7/1/2010 TO 6/30/2011	7/1/2011 TO 6/30/2012	SUBTOTAL 6/30/2012	WRITE OFF SUNK COSTS 6/30/2012	7/1/12 TO 6/30/2012	GRAND TOTAL 6/30/2013
1590-A7	Reservation Fee-City of Santa Maria	37,500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37,500.00	0.00	0.00	37,500.00
1590-A1	Feasibility Study (Cannon)	25,887.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25,887.29	(25,887.29)	0.00	0.00
1590-A2	EIR Preparation (Wood & Assoc)	29,037.48	87,100.23	16,053.83	45,407.70	76,544.11	500.00	14,836.89	269,480.24	0.00	0.00	269,480.24
1590-A3	EIR/Preliminary Schedule (Cannon)	3,706.19	2,602.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,308.94	(6,308.94)	0.00	0.00
1590-A4	Proposed Routes/Facilities (Cannon)	5,650.07	520.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,570.07	(5,570.07)	0.00	0.00
1590-A5	Prop 50 Grant Application	2,737.00	6,210.00	0.00	1,857.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,824.50	(10,824.50)	0.00	0.00
1590-A6	Project Support (Cannon)	0.00	11,797.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11,797.44	(11,797.44)	0.00	0.00
1590-A7	Groundwater Grant Assistance (SAC)	0.00	0.00	15,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15,000.00	(15,000.00)	0.00	0.00
1590-B1	Shipey & Seltz	0.00	23,095.55	17,564.25	2,201.50	18,224.00	18,664.80	34,335.20	130,706.88	(130,706.88)	19,852.80	19,852.80
1590-B2	McDonough, Holland & Allen	0.00	34,171.26	15,871.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50,042.91	(50,042.91)	0.00	0.00
1590-B3	Richard, Wilson & Gerbaben	0.00	9,472.38	27,954.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	37,427.19	(37,427.19)	0.00	0.00
1590-C1	Appraisals (Travin & Reeder Gilman)	0.00	0.00	16,170.00	10,000.00	0.00	8,000.00	3,600.00	37,770.00	0.00	0.00	37,770.00
1590-C2	Property Negotiations (Hammer Jewell)	0.00	0.00	0.00	15,250.00	14,748.75	36,481.90	13,794.53	80,275.18	0.00	36,504.22	116,779.40
1590-C3	Property Acquisitions	0.00	0.00	0.00	673.00	2,772.00	690.00	2,800.00	6,845.00	0.00	30,460.00	37,305.00
1590-D1	Financial	0.00	2,809.85	0.00	7,585.45	4,476.25	0.00	0.00	14,871.55	(14,871.55)	10,233.75	10,233.75
1590-D2	Lobbying	0.00	0.00	0.00	38,801.11	38,950.00	54,000.00	9,000.00	140,751.11	(140,751.11)	0.00	0.00
1590-E1	Preliminary Engineering Design (Boyle)	0.00	6,470.33	223,286.67	103,480.19	2,184.43	0.00	0.00	335,411.62	0.00	982.80	336,394.42
1590-E2	Water Modeling by Carillo (City of SVM)	0.00	0.00	24,842.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	24,842.00	0.00	0.00	24,842.00
1590-E3	Alternative Water Supplies (Boyle)	0.00	0.00	164,238.48	70,772.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	235,010.49	0.00	0.00	235,010.49
1590-E4	Project Information (Boyle)	0.00	0.00	6,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6,000.00	0.00	0.00	6,000.00
1590-E5	Project Design (AECOM)	0.00	0.00	752,316.66	228,982.01	172,785.69	212,942.04	1,366,999.40	1,613,025.80	0.00	194,163.95	1,807,189.75
1590-E6	Pressure Testing	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,682.92	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,682.92	0.00	0.00	8,682.92
1590-E7	Peer Review	0.00	0.00	0.00	7,571.05	37,349.25	12,134.80	0.00	57,055.10	0.00	0.00	57,055.10
1590-E8	Plot Holing	0.00	0.00	0.00	29,053.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	29,053.05	0.00	0.00	29,053.05
1590-E9	Phasing Feasibility Study	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,548.15	4,548.15	0.00	2,968.85	7,517.00
1590-F1	Lab Testing (FGL Environmental)	0.00	5,047.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5,047.00	0.00	0.00	5,047.00
1590-F2	Copy/Print	0.00	740.24	1,022.01	0.00	0.00	52.07	0.00	1,814.32	(1,814.32)	9,737.39	9,737.39
1590-G1	Permits	0.00	0.00	0.00	130.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	130.00	0.00	0.00	130.00
1590-H1	Assessment District	0.00	0.00	0.00	83,030.71	21,227.92	56,831.64	212,504.75	373,695.02	(373,695.02)	0.00	0.00
1590-H2	SLO County Reimb Agreement-JPA	0.00	0.00	0.00	36,603.80	6,789.89	11,476.99	54,880.68	109,771.36	(109,771.36)	0.00	0.00
1590-H3	Puresoy Partner Reimbursements to MUSD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(10,462.04)	0.00	(10,462.04)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1590-H4	A/D Financial Advisor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,855.63	39,375.00	48,210.63	88,441.26	0.00	0.00	
1590-H5	A/D Outreach/Education	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	74,571.75	221,121.09	295,692.84	590,365.68	(590,365.68)	0.00	
1590-H6	A/D Bond Counsel	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	85,000.00	85,000.00	170,000.00	0.00	0.00	
1590-H7	A/D Ballot Tabulation/Observation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	8,262.21	8,262.21	16,524.42	(16,524.42)	0.00	0.00
1590-I1	Construction Management (MNS)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10,035.00	10,035.00	20,070.00	0.00	9,045.00	29,115.00
1590-I2	Arborist (A&T Arborist)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2,830.00	0.00	2,830.00	5,660.00	(5,660.00)	0.00	
1590-J1	Supp Water Evaluations Committees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	44,610.65	44,610.65
1590-Z1	Wagner-Capitalized	0.00	29,076.92	35,864.51	28,187.08	31,926.57	50,005.29	45,173.63	266,962.55	(266,962.55)	0.00	0.00
1590-Z2	Payroll Taxes-Capitalized	0.00	587.22	587.42	455.96	504.53	2,058.44	1,918.13	8,062.66	(8,062.66)	0.00	0.00
1590-Z3	Retirement-Capitalized	0.00	8,418.08	10,344.53	8,110.84	8,690.47	9,443.17	6,729.62	51,736.71	(51,736.71)	0.00	0.00
1590-Z4	Medical-Capitalized	0.00	2,861.36	3,367.02	2,964.88	2,757.36	3,352.92	4,114.98	22,409.46	(22,409.46)	0.00	0.00
1590-Z5	Dental/Vision-Capitalized	0.00	0.00	247.90	328.23	348.15	459.82	492.93	2,126.77	(2,126.77)	0.00	0.00
1590-Z6	Workers Compensation-Capitalized	0.00	260.35	341.83	226.21	259.81	271.21	277.61	1,808.30	(1,808.30)	0.00	0.00
		103,938.03	225,450.74	562,634.14	334,404.32	1,055,642.22	522,743.28	449,182.79	4,186,766.68	(4,186,766.68)	358,559.41	2,873,306.56

JUNE 12, 2013

ITEM F

ATTACHMENT F

**NCSO Outreach Summary  
May 2013**

<b>Date Started</b>	<b>Outreach</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Date Completed</b>
3/28/2013	Website Updates	Updating District's website	<b>In Progress</b>	
4/29/2013	Ad	AWWA Drinking Water Week 1/4 page Ad in Adobe, pub date 5/3	Complete	<b>5/1/2013</b>
4/30/2013	Water Quality Report	2012 Report for distribution 5/8	Complete	<b>5/8/2013</b>
5/3/2013	Press Release	Law Suit Challenges District Water Project Financing Plan	Complete	<b>5/3/2013</b>
5/6/2013	Ad	AWWA Drinking Water Week 1/4 page Ad in Adobe, pub date 5/10	Complete	<b>5/8/2013</b>
5/9/2013	Press Release	In Face of Lawsuit Board Delays Approval of Bond Sale and Schedules Special Meeting	Complete	<b>5/9/2013</b>
5/10/2013	Press Release	Board Sets June 12, 2013 for Adoption of 2013-2014 Budget and Directs Staff to Increase Budgeted Legal Fees	Complete	<b>5/10/2013</b>
5/10/2013	Press Release	Board Approves Supplemental Water Project Alternative Financing Plan	Complete	<b>5/10/2013</b>
4/4/2013	District Newsletter	Second quarter newsletter for May 15th distribution	Complete	<b>5/15/2013</b>
5/2/2013	Value of Water Display	Value of Water display for lobby	<b>In Progress</b>	
5/24/2013	Press Release	Nipomo CSD Board of Directors Authorizes Emergency Repair of Well	Complete	<b>5/24/2013</b>
5/24/2013	Press Release	Transparency Certificate of Excellence Awarded to Nipomo Community Services District	Complete	<b>5/24/2013</b>
5/24/2013	Manager's Column	Article 4 for Manager's Column in Adobe Press, pub date 5/31	Complete	<b>5/28/2013</b>
5/29/2013	Lobby Bulletin Board	Update of the District Office's public information bulletin board in the lobby	<b>In Progress</b>	
6/3/2013	Ad	Conservation 1/4 page Ad in Adobe, pub date 6/7	Complete	<b>6/5/2013</b>

the pay, under the guidance and direction of a teacher who recognizes the need for

May 16 Day of the Bike. Our day started by 110 of us riding our bikes to school —

with valuable experiences and school lessons that we may not normally receive.

for Benghazi

In the Future

May 19, after suffering a stroke earlier in the week. He served a three-year

1952. Today, Mankins' sons co-own the family business. The South County native

A4 FRIDAY, MAY 31, 2013

www.theadobeexpress.com

# SPEAKING OUT

## Nipomo Community Services District keeping our drinking water safe



INSIDE NCSD  
Michael LeBrun

The mission of Nipomo Community Services District is to provide customers with reliable, quality and cost-effective services now and in the future.

NCSD pumps and treats,

on average, 2.2 million gallons of water every day, with some summer days reaching as high as 4 million gallons per day.

You may have seen some recent advertisements regarding drinking water safety. You may also see the current Consumer Confidence Report — a water quality report — mailed with the May and June bills.

What does that information mean? The report details where your water comes from, what it contains and how it compares to state standards.

NCSD has met all state

and federal sampling, treatment and reporting requirements.

Currently, our water supply is 100 percent local groundwater. The only treatment required is chlorination. The district does not add fluoride or any other chemicals — except sodium hydroxide to regulate acidity and chlorine as a disinfectant — to the water supply.

A healthy and dependable water supply is critically important to the community's health and prosperity.

Our groundwater supply is recharged by rainfall,

deep infiltration of excessive irrigation, discharge of treated wastewater from the facilities and infiltration from individual septic systems.

That means maintaining a safe and reliable water source is everyone's business and requires everyone to be diligent.

To ensure our most precious resource is safe for ourselves, our loved ones and our community for generations to come, here are some tips to stay informed and help keep Nipomo's water safe:

Help prevent contamination of our groundwater by:

- Disposing of expired drugs and other chemicals through permitted and safe practices. Never dispose of drugs or chemicals in your toilet or dump them on the ground.

Contact the district office for information on legal and safe disposal options.

■ Use water wisely. Conservation will save you money and will help to prevent seawater intrusion, thereby prolonging the health of our groundwater basin.

■ Immediately report any suspicious behavior around

district facilities to 929-1133, a 24-hour line.

■ Attend district board meetings to stay informed. To view a copy of our 2012 water quality report and other resources on safe drinking water, visit the district's website at [www.ncsd.ca.gov](http://www.ncsd.ca.gov).

If you have questions or comments about this column or NCSD services, please contact me.

Michael LeBrun is the general manager of the Nipomo Community Services District. He can be reached by calling 929-1133 or by email at [info@ncsd.ca.gov](mailto:info@ncsd.ca.gov).

## Keep Your Water – and Money– from going down the drain




Every day, on average, Americans use a total of 40 billion gallons of fresh water. Around the house, up to 70 percent of that water is used on our landscapes. That's why saving water outside the home is as important as it is inside.

Here are some common and not-so-common tools to save water outdoors:

- 1. Sprinkler Timers** – A sprinkler timer can be set to shut off your sprinkler after a set amount of time.
- 2. Brooms** – A broom is the right tool to clean your driveway or sidewalk between rain showers. Just sweep the dirt and spread it over your lawn or put it in the garbage. This will keep the dirt from entering the storm sewer catch basins.
- 3. Screwdriver** – Use a long screwdriver as a soil probe to test soil moisture. If you can push it easily into your lawn for several inches, don't water. Proper lawn watering can save thousands of gallons of water annually.
- 4. Rain Gauge** – Let Mother Nature do the watering for you. A rain gauge is a low-cost, reliable device for measuring the water your lawn gets from rainfall. If you receive an inch or more within a week, you can skip your next watering.
- 5. Soil Aerator** - This inexpensive tool will punch holes in your lawn about six inches apart so water will reach the roots rather than run off the surface.

Visit the NCS D website at [www.ncsd.ca.gov](http://www.ncsd.ca.gov) for more tips on how to save water outside and inside the home.



**Press Release Log  
2013**

Date of PR	Title	Date Sent to Media	Date Placed On Website	Media Published	Date PR Published	Media Published	Date PR Published	Media Published	Date PR Published
1/10/2013	Board Authorizes Purchase of New Service Truck	1/10/2013	1/10/2013	Times Press	1/18/2013				
1/10/2013	Board Ratifies Committee Assignments for 2013	1/10/2013	1/10/2013						
1/10/2013	Board to Consider Revision of District's Bill Payment Policy	1/10/2013	1/10/2013	SM Times	1/18/2013	Adobe	1/18/2013	Times Press	1/25/2013
1/10/2013	Board Authorizes Representation for Nipomo Mesa Management Area Technical Group	1/10/2013	1/10/2013						
1/24/2013	Board Commends District's Finance Director for Twenty Years of Outstanding Service	1/24/2013	1/25/2013	Adobe	2/1/2013	SM Times	2/24/2013		
1/24/2013	Board Awards Contract for Blacklake Sewer Master Plan to Michael K. Nunley & Associates	1/24/2013	1/25/2013	Adobe	2/1/2013				
1/24/2013	Board Considers Requests for New Water Service at Jim Miller Park and New Development	1/24/2013	1/25/2013	Tribune	1/31/2013	Adobe	2/1/2013	SM Times	2/4/2013

Press Release Log

2013

Date of PR	Title	Date Sent to Media	Date Placed On Website	Media Published	Date PR Published						
2/5/2013	Committee Develops Initial Ranking of Supplemental Water Alternatives	2/5/2013	2/6/2013	Adobe	2/8/2013	SM Times	2/10/2013	KCOY On Air	2/13/2013		
2/14/2013	Board Authorizes Bidding for Supplemental Water Project Phase 1	2/15/2013	2/15/2013	SM Times	2/16/2013	Tribune	2/18/2013	Adobe	2/22/2013	Sun & New Times	2/27/2013
2/14/2013	Board Approves Financing Plan for Supplemental Water Pipeline Project	2/15/2013	2/15/2013	SM Times	2/16/2013	Tribune	2/18/2013	Adobe	2/22/2013	Sun & New Times	2/27/2013
2/14/2013	Committee Presents Preliminary Findings on Supplemental Water Alternatives	2/15/2013	2/15/2013	SM Times	2/16/2013	Tribune	2/18/2013	Adobe	2/22/2013		
2/14/2013	Board Approves an Increase to District Trash Rates	2/15/2013	2/15/2013	Adobe	2/22/2013						
2/14/2013	Board Awards Contract for Auditing Services to The Crosby Company	2/15/2013	2/15/2013								
2/14/2013	Board Considers Revising Policy on New Water Service Applications	2/15/2013	2/15/2013	Tribune	2/18/2013	Adobe	2/22/2013	SM Times	2/25/2013		
2/25/2013	District Suspects Illegal Dumping in Sewer System	2/26/2013	2/26/2013	KCOY	2/26/2013	SM Times	2/27/2013	Tribune	2/28/2013	Adobe	3/1/2013

**Press Release Log  
2013**

Date of PR	Title	Date Sent to Media	Date Placed On Website	Media Published	Date PR Published	Media Published	Date PR Published	Media Published	Date PR Published
2/28/2013	Committee Presents Draft-Final Report on Supplemental Water Alternatives	2/28/2013	2/28/2013	Tribune	2/28/2013				
2/28/2013	District Utilities Superintendent Provides Summary of 2012 Operational Highlights	2/28/2013	2/28/2013						
2/28/2013	Board Initiates Process to Allow Applications for New Water Service	2/28/2013	2/28/2013	Adobe	3/8/2013	SM Times	3/10/2013		
2/28/2013	Board Initiates Process to Allow Relief to Customers with High Water Bills Caused by Leaks	2/28/2013	2/28/2013						
2/28/2013	District Awards Contract for Well Repairs to Sansone Company, Inc.	2/28/2013	2/28/2013						
3/14/2013	Board Allows Applications for New Water Service	Sent by MSL 3/13/13	3/18/2013	Tribune	3/13/2013	Sun	3/27/2013	New Times	3/27/2013
3/14/2013	District Awards Grant Funds for Solid Waste Removal to Nipomo Chamber of Commerce	Sent by MSL 3/13/13	3/18/2013						

**Press Release Log  
2013**

Date of PR	Title	Date Sent to Media	Date Placed On Website	Media Published	Date PR Published	Media Published	Date PR Published	Media Published	Date PR Published
3/14/2013	Board Allows Relief to Customers with High Water Bills Caused by Leaks	Sent by MSL 3/13/13	3/18/2013						
3/28/2013	Board Recommends Forming Solid Waste Committee	3/28/2013	3/29/2013						
3/28/2013	Board Presents Resolution of Appreciation to Supplemental Water Alternatives Evaluation Committee	3/28/2013	3/29/2013						
4/4/2013	Nipomo Community Services District Hires Assistant Engineer	4/5/2013	4/5/2013						
4/25/2013	Board Accepts Completion of SCADA System Upgrade	4/25/2013	4/26/2013						
4/25/2013	Board Approves Funding Plan for Phase I of Supplemental Water Project	4/25/2013	4/26/2013	Tribune	4/29/2013	Adobe	5/3/2013		
4/25/2013	Board Approves Wholesale Water Supply Agreement with Santa Maria	4/25/2013	4/26/2013	SM Times	4/28/2013				



**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

Date: May 24, 2013  
Contact: Michael S. LeBrun, General Manager  
Nipomo Community Services District  
148 S. Wilson St., Nipomo, CA 93444  
Phone: (805) 929-1133 – Email: mlebrun@ncsd.ca.gov



**NIPOMO CSD BOARD OF DIRECTORS AUTHORIZES EMERGENCY REPAIR OF WELL**

At their May 22, 2013 meeting, Directors of Nipomo Community Service District authorized the expenditure of nearly \$80,000 to perform emergency repairs on one of the District's largest production wells.

Director of Engineering and Operations, Peter Sevcik explained to the Board the well had developed two problems in recent months that led to a complete loss of production. A mis-alignment developed in the 350-foot drive shaft that connects the surface mounted electric motor to the pump, which is mounted near the bottom of the well casing. Additionally, a number of impellers in the 12-stage pump were found to be excessively corroded.

Fisher Pump and Well Service of Santa Maria was awarded the \$72,000 emergency repair contract. The well is expected to be back on line within two weeks.

According to Mr. Sevcik: "With Board authorization today, the well should be back online just in time for peak demand season when the District will pump up to 4 million gallons of groundwater daily to meet the demand of the District's 12,000 plus customers".

Next Scheduled Regular Board Meeting: Wednesday, June 12, 2013, 9AM, District Board Room 148 South Wilson, Nipomo

For more information, please contact the Nipomo Community Services District at 929-1133 or visit [www.ncsd.ca.gov](http://www.ncsd.ca.gov).

###

Established in 1965 to meet the health and sanitation needs of the local community, Nipomo Community Services is pleased to provide a wide variety of services throughout its district including the provision of water, sewer, and waste management services as well as lighting and drainage in limited areas. The mission of Nipomo Community Services District is to provide its customers with reliable, quality, and cost-effective services now and in the future.

**FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE**

Date: May 24, 2013  
Contact: Michael S. LeBrun, General Manager  
Nipomo Community Services District  
148 S. Wilson St., Nipomo, CA 93444  
Phone: (805) 929-1133 – Email: mlebrun@ncsd.ca.gov



**Transparency Certificate of Excellence Awarded to Nipomo Community Services District**

On May 22, 2013, Nipomo Community Services District received news it had received the District Transparency Certificate of Excellence by the Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF) in recognition of its outstanding efforts to promote transparency and good governance.

“This award is a testament to the Nipomo Community Services District’s commitment to open government,” said Michael LeBrun, General Manager. “District staff and our elected Board of Directors are to be commended for their contributions that empower the public with information and facilitate engagement and oversight.”

In order to receive the award, a special district must demonstrate the completion of eight essential governance transparency requirements, including conducting ethics training for all board members, properly conducting open and public meetings, and filing financial transactions and compensation reports to the State Controller in a timely manner.

The Nipomo Community Services District also fulfilled fifteen website requirements, including providing readily available information to the public, such as board agendas, past minutes, current district budget, and the most recent financial audit.

Finally, the District must demonstrate outreach to its constituents that engages the public in its governance, through newsletters and community transparency reviews.

The Nipomo Community Services District operates one water system and two sewer systems; one serving the Town Division and one serving the Blacklake Division. They provide the following services to their residents: water, wastewater, solid waste franchise, street lighting in the Blacklake division and drainage to a limited area.

SDLF is an independent, non-profit organization formed to promote good governance and best practices among California’s special districts through certification, accreditation and other recognition programs.

Special districts are independent public agencies that deliver core local services to communities, such as water, fire protection, parks and recreation, healthcare, sanitation, mosquito abatement, ports, libraries, public cemeteries and more. Districts are established by voters and their funding is approved by voters in order to meet specific needs through focused service. They can be specially molded to serve large regions or small neighborhoods depending on the need.

Next Scheduled Regular Board Meeting: Wednesday, June 12, 2013, 9AM, District Board Room 148 South Wilson, Nipomo

For more information, please contact the Nipomo Community Services District at 929-1133 or visit [www.ncsd.ca.gov](http://www.ncsd.ca.gov).

###

Established in 1965 to meet the health and sanitation needs of the local community, Nipomo Community Services is pleased to provide a wide variety of services throughout its district including the provision of water, sewer, and waste management services as well as lighting and drainage in limited areas. The mission of Nipomo Community Services District is to provide its customers with reliable, quality, and cost-effective services now and in the future.

# Santa Maria Sun / News

The following articles were printed from Santa Maria Sun [santamariasun.com] - Volume 14, Issue 12

Share:

## Nipomo authorizes funds to fix broken well

**BY CAMILLIA LANHAM**

Amid struggles to get a recently approved pipeline financing plan up and running, the Nipomo Community Services District on May 22 authorized spending almost \$80,000 to perform emergency repairs on one of the district's largest production wells.

According to a press release sent out by the district, Director of Engineering and Operations Peter Sevcik told the NCS D's Board of Directors that the well developed two problems in recent months, which led to a complete loss of production.

Those problems include a misalignment in the 350-foot drive shaft that connects the electric motor on the surface of the well to a water pump mounted near the bottom of the well casing. Impellers (rotors designed to push water in a certain direction under pressure) inside the water pump are excessively corroded and need to be replaced.

Sevcik didn't get back to the *Sun* before the paper went to press. District General Manager Michael LeBrun was out of the office when the *Sun* contacted him for comment and was unable to return phone calls.

The well is currently offline, but authorization of the funds will get it back up online within two weeks. Fisher Pump and Well Service of Santa Maria was awarded the \$72,000 emergency repair contract.

The press release said Sevcik informed the board that the well should be back up in time for peak demand season, "when the district will pump up to four million gallons of groundwater daily to meet the demand of the District's 12,000 plus customers."

The district is currently at capacity for water it can pump out of the wells to service its customers. A water pipeline from Santa Maria could relieve pressure should a well break in the future and would bring a much-needed additional source of water to Nipomo, an area that's been locked in a water war since it was handed a final judgment for water rights in the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin in the mid-'90s.

The recently approved \$17.5-million phase one of a three-phase pipeline project could bring Nipomo 650 to 900 acre-feet of water per year from Santa Maria.

A lawsuit filed by the Mesa Community Alliance against the district's proposed financing plan for the first phase of the pipeline has delayed the project from moving forward for the time being. A court hearing on the lawsuit is set for the end of May.

The next regular board meeting is scheduled for June 12 at 9 a.m. in the district board room at 148 South Wilson in Nipomo. For more information, contact the district at 929-1133 or visit [ncsd.ca.gov](http://ncsd.ca.gov).

Share:

## **NCSD plans to issue \$3.7 million in bonds**

Mike Hodgson/mhodgson@theadobepress.com

Although a plan to issue certificates of participation to pay for a supplemental water pipeline is on hold, Nipomo Community Services District will issue up to \$3.7 million in bonds to pay off an old debt.

Using a new bond issue to refund the balance due on certificates of participation floated in 2003 could save NCSD an average of \$17,597 a year for the next 20 years, according to a staff report.

Directors unanimously authorized the staff to issue the new bonds in two votes — sitting as the boards for both NCSD and the district's Public Facilities Corp.

Total savings were expected to be \$353,194, said Michael LeBrun, NCSD general manager. That amount includes any potential future interest charges the district might otherwise have to pay.

“The savings were a little depressed (from original estimates) because the bond market is turning and we have the threat of litigation,” LeBrun said, referring to a lawsuit over NCSD's financing plan for a supplemental water pipeline.

LeBrun said lawsuits tend to make investors nervous, not only making it more difficult to sell bonds but also raising the interest rate.

Under the plan approved May 22 by directors, NCSD will issue up to \$3.7 million in water revenue refunding bonds, dubbed Series 2013A, in negotiated sales.

Money raised by the bonds will be used to prepay the installments on the remaining certificates of participation — essentially bonds commonly called COPs — issued in 2003 for a pipeline and water storage project.

LeBrun said more than \$3.2 million is still outstanding on the \$4 million issued in 2003, with 20 years remaining on the initial 30-year term.

Currently, the interest rate on the remaining COPs is about 4.74 percent.

Interest will not be allowed to exceed 4 percent in the negotiated sale of the new bonds.

But based on current market conditions, paying those off is expected to result in a 3.56-percent interest rate on the new bonds, LeBrun said.

That includes all the additional costs involved in the early payoff of the certificates.

The term for the new bonds will be 20 years — the same amount of time remaining on the 2003 COPs.

“The refunding savings are attractive, with present-value savings at over 6.5 percent of the 2003 certificates outstanding, and a 3-percent (present-value) savings rate is considered by many issuers and financial policies as a net (present-value) benchmark,” he said in his report to the board.

“Actual savings will not be determined until time of sale and could be higher or lower, depending on bond

market conditions in late May,” he said.

NCSD will first use property tax revenues to repay the 2013A bonds and then, if necessary, money from net revenues to the water enterprise fund.

LeBrun said part of the proceeds from the bonds will be used to create a debt service reserve fund, which enhancing bond ratings, covers the final payment and eases investors’ concerns.

He noted the district has already created a \$400,000 rate stabilization fund from money in the water enterprise fund to help moderate future rate increases.

NCSD had planned to issue COPs to help pay for a \$17.5 million pipeline to pump 3,000 acre-feet of water a year to the Nipomo Mesa from Santa Maria.

But that plan also called for using \$4 million in “funded replacement” money set aside for repair and maintenance of the district’s water system.

Mesa Community Alliance filed a lawsuit seeking to halt the project because using “funded replacement” money would violate state law.

Posted Friday May 31, 2013



## NCSD plans to issue \$3.7 million in bonds

Move could save district \$17,600 a year

JUNE 02, 2013 12:15 AM • MIKE HODGSON / MHODGSON@SANTAMARIATIMES.COM

Although a plan to issue certificates of participation to pay for a supplemental water pipeline is on hold, Nipomo Community Services District will issue up to \$3.7 million in bonds to pay off an old debt.

Using a new bond issue to refund the balance due on certificates of participation floated in 2003 could save NCSD an average of \$17,597 a year for the next 20 years, according to a staff report.

Directors unanimously authorized the staff to issue the new bonds in two recent votes — sitting as the boards for both NCSD and the district's Public Facilities Corp.

Total savings were expected to be \$353,194, said Michael LeBrun, NCSD general manager. That amount includes any potential future interest charges the district might otherwise have to pay.

"The savings were a little depressed (from original estimates) because the bond market is turning and we have the threat of litigation," LeBrun said, referring to a lawsuit over NCSD's financing plan for a supplemental water pipeline.

LeBrun said lawsuits tend to make investors nervous, not only making it more difficult to sell bonds but also raising the interest rate.

Under the plan approved May 22 by directors, NCSD will issue up to \$3.7 million in water revenue refunding bonds, dubbed Series 2013A, in negotiated sales.

Money raised by the bonds will be used to prepay the installments on the remaining certificates of participation — essentially bonds commonly called COPs — issued in 2003 for a pipeline and water storage project.

LeBrun said more than \$3.2 million is still outstanding on the \$4 million issued in 2003, with 20 years remaining on the initial 30-year term.

Currently, the interest rate on the remaining COPs is about 4.74 percent.

Interest will not be allowed to exceed 4 percent in the negotiated sale of the new bonds.

But based on current market conditions, paying those off is expected to result in a 3.56-percent interest rate on the new bonds, LeBrun said.

That includes all the additional costs involved in the early payoff of the certificates.

The term for the new bonds will be 20 years — the same amount of time remaining on the 2003 COPs.

“The refunding savings are attractive, with present-value savings at over 6.5 percent of the 2003 certificates outstanding, and a 3-percent (present-value) savings rate is considered by many issuers and financial policies as a net (present-value) benchmark,” he said in his report to the board.

“Actual savings will not be determined until time of sale and could be higher or lower, depending on bond market conditions in late May,” he said.

NCSD will first use property tax revenues to repay the 2013A bonds and then, if necessary, money from net revenues to the water enterprise fund.

LeBrun said part of the proceeds from the bonds will be used to create a debt service reserve fund, which enhancing bond ratings, covers the final payment and eases investors’ concerns.

He noted the district has already created a \$400,000 rate stabilization fund from money in the water enterprise fund to help moderate future rate increases.

NCSD had planned to issue COPs to help pay for a \$17.5 million pipeline to pump 3,000 acre-feet of water a year to the Nipomo Mesa from Santa Maria.

But that plan also called for using \$4 million in “funded replacement” money set aside for repair and maintenance of the district’s water system.

Mesa Community Alliance filed a lawsuit seeking to halt the project because using “funded replacement” money would violate state law.

# SanLuisObispo.com

[Next Story >](#)

**Man found dead behind business near downtown SLO**

## Mesa group suffers setback in effort to stop Nipomo water pipeline

Published: June 5, 2013

Mesa Community Alliance's motion for a preliminary injunction is denied

By Cynthia Lambert — [clambert@thetribunenews.com](mailto:clambert@thetribunenews.com)

So far, efforts by a group of local residents to stop the [Nipomo Community Services District's](#) plan to construct a pipeline to bring water to the area from Santa Maria have fallen flat.

San Luis Obispo Superior Court Judge Martin J. Tangeman last week denied a portion of the [Mesa Community Alliance's](#) petition seeking to prevent the \$17.5 million project from moving ahead.

On Wednesday, he denied the group's motion for a preliminary injunction to prevent the district from using \$4 million for the project from a specific reserve fund.

However, the Mesa Community Alliance's petition for a permanent injunction is still outstanding, said Nipomo Community Services District attorney Michael Seitz.

Bill Petrick, secretary for Mesa Community Alliance, said the group is still deciding whether or not to move forward. "We're looking at our options. But I don't think there are many options available."

In the meantime, the district will continue to move ahead with its pipeline project under an alternative financing plan, which includes [borrowing an additional \\$4 million](#).

The Mesa Community Alliance's challenge of the project is one twist in what has been a lengthy debate about water on the Nipomo Mesa.

Nipomo district leaders say the pipeline is necessary to reduce dependence on an underground aquifer, the area's only source of water. After Nipomo-area property owners [rejected a financing plan](#) to construct a \$26 million pipeline project, the services district board approved plans to build the project in phases.

The first phase is estimated to cost \$17.5 million and would connect a pipeline to Santa Maria's water system, install it across the Santa Maria River and connect to the community's water system. Two other phases are planned to increase the pipeline's capacity.

The district had planned to use \$4 million from a reserve fund created to repair and replace "existing capital assets." District officials said use of the money was appropriate because the pipeline will reduce the district's need to repair and refurbish its wells, and will prolong their use.

But Mesa Community Alliance, group of residents who live within the Nipomo district and surrounding areas, argued the district does not have the discretion to use the money because the reserve fund is specifically intended for "replacement of capital assets."

"There's no evidence that somehow adding the pipeline ... increases the life of their capital assets," San Luis Obispo-based attorney Babak Naficy, representing Mesa Community Alliance, said in court Wednesday.

He argued the district board's decision to use reserve funds is not appropriate and "amounts to creating a

slush fund.”

But Tangeman did not agree with some of Mesa Community Alliance’s arguments. In a [tentative ruling posted online](#), he wrote the district made specific findings to determine the use of the money is consistent with the reserve fund’s purpose “because the project will extend the useful life of the assets.”

The district is more likely to suffer greater damage if the injunction is granted, Tangeman wrote, noting that further delay of the project could jeopardize a \$2.2 million state grant.

Also, district officials have said the project would need to be delayed if construction contracts aren’t awarded by June 26 — resulting in an additional \$100,000 cost to re-qualify contractors for rebidding.

The district also has a permit from the [state Department of Fish and Wildlife](#) to drill under the Santa Maria River, but the work must be done by Oct. 31.

[Back to Top](#)  
[< Previous Story](#)



**CMC inmate hospitalized after fight with another inmate**

[Next Story >](#)

**Man found dead behind business near downtown SLO**

[Email Newsletters >](#)  
[Manage newsletter subscriptions](#)  
[Tablets >](#)  
[Apps and services for tablet devices](#)  
[Mobile >](#)  
[Apps and services for your mobile phone](#)  
[Social Media >](#)  
[Get updates via Facebook and Twitter](#)  
[iTribune >](#)  
[Your daily paper delivered to your computer](#)  
[Home Delivery >](#)  
[Manage your home delivery account](#)  
[Digital Subscriptions >](#)  
[Manage your online subscriptions](#)

**2013 Website Traffic Summary**

Week	Visits	Unique Visitors	Pageviews	Pages/Visit	Avg. Visit Duration	Bounce Rate	% New Visits	Highest Traffic Day	Significant Actions During the Week Possibly Contributing to Traffic
12/31/12 - 1/6/13	300	250	696	2.32	0:02:01	60.33%	66.00%	Wed 1/2	
1/7/13 - 1/13/13	325	262	742	2.28	0:02:16	56.31%	62.15%	Thu 1/10	Board Meeting 1/9; 4 Press Releases (incl bill payment policy)
1/14/13 - 1/20/13	311	240	676	2.17	0:02:20	54.98%	55.63%	Fri 1/18	Adobe b&w convs ad 1/18
1/21/13 - 1/27/13	414	327	906	2.19	0:02:26	64.25%	56.76%	Tue 1/22, Wed 1/23	Board Meeting 1/23 3 Press Releases (incl New Water Svc) Adobe color convs ad 1/25
1/28/13 - 2/3/13	417	334	988	2.37	0:02:34	63.31%	62.35%	Thu 1/31	Adobe color convs ad 1/25
2/4/13 - 2/10/13	382	285	826	2.16	0:01:51	50.79%	54.19%	Wed 2/6	SWAEC Press Release
2/11/13 - 2/17/13	407	314	889	2.18	0:02:04	56.27%	54.30%	Wed 2/13	Board Meeting 2/13 KCOY On-Air Story 2/13 6 Press Releases (incl Supp Water, Trash, New Water Service)
2/18/13 - 2/24/13	521	381	1179	2.26	0:02:48	54.51%	55.85%	Wed 2/20	Newsletter Mailing (rcd 2/19) Adobe Manager's Column 2/22
2/25/13 - 3/3/13	470	319	1133	2.41	0:02:38	52.77%	52.34%	Fri 3/1	Board Meeting 2/27 KCOY On-Air Story 2/26 Press Release on Illegal Dumping
3/4/13 - 3/10/13	493	387	1233	2.5	0:02:19	49.29%	62.47%	Mon 3/4	Illegal Dumping newspaper articles
3/11/13 - 3/17/13	462	369	1147	2.48	0:02:08	46.75%	65.15%	Mon 3/11	Board Meeting 3/13 Press Releases (incl New Water Service and Bill Relief) Week Ad in Adobe 3/15
3/18/13 - 3/24/13	474	390	1222	2.58	0:02:23	50.84%	64.35%	Wed 3/20	WWVS Recruitment Fix A Leak Week Ad in Adobe 3/15 A Leak Week Ad in Adobe 3/22
3/25/13 - 3/31/13	432	346	1115	2.58	0:02:33	49.07%	63.89%	Tue 3/26	WWVS Recruitment Fix A Leak Week Ad in Adobe 3/22 Board Meeting 3/27 Manager's Column in Adobe 3/29
4/1/13 - 4/7/13	395	312	993	2.51	0:02:26	52.15%	58.23%	Fri 4/5	New Washer Rebate banners hung
4/8/13 - 4/14/13	353	256	892	2.53	0:02:51	51.56%	52.97%	Tue 4/9	Board Meeting 4/10 Clean-up Week ad in Adobe pub 4/12

**2013 Website Traffic Summary**

<b>Week</b>	<b>Visits</b>	<b>Unique Visitors</b>	<b>Pageviews</b>	<b>Pages/Visit</b>	<b>Avg. Visit Duration</b>	<b>Bounce Rate</b>	<b>% New Visits</b>	<b>Highest Traffic Day</b>	<b>Significant Actions During the Week Possibly Contributing to Traffic</b>
4/15/13 - 4/21/13	404	323	835	2.07	0:01:30	66.34%	61.39%	Fri 4/19	Clean-up Week ad in Adobe pub 4/19
4/22/13 - 4/28/13	431	331	834	1.94	0:01:47	63.81%	58.70%	Tue 4/23	Clean-up Week ad in Adobe pub 4/19 Board Meeting 4/24 3 Press Releases (incl Supp Water) SWWTF Story Manager's Column Chipping Event ad in Adobe pub 4/26
4/29/13 - 5/5/13	401	275	884	2.2	0:02:08	65.34%	48.63%	Tue 4/30	Chipping Event ad in Adobe pub 4/26 SWP Articles in SMTimes, Tribune, Adobe Lawsuit press release AWWA Water Week ad in Adobe pub 5/3 <b>Website Tech Difficulties (possible decreased traffic)</b>
5/6/13 - 5/12/13	334	237	644	1.93	0:01:58	67.07%	50.90%	Tue 5/7 Thu 5/9	AWWA Water Week ad in Adobe pub 5/10 Board meeting 5/8 Follow-up lawsuit press releases News story on KCOY 5/10 <b>Website Tech Difficulties (possible decreased traffic)</b>
5/13/13 - 5/19/13	276	227	505	1.83	0:01:51	71.74%	60.87%	Fri 5/17	May Newsletter mailing 5/15 SWP Financing Plan Press Release/Story <b>Website Tech Difficulties (possible decreased traffic)</b>
5/20/13 - 5/26/13	261	220	480	1.84	0:01:15	73.18%	62.07%	Mon 5/20	May Newsletter mailing 5/15 Board meeting 5/22 (2) Press Releases Holiday Weekend (possible decreased traffic)
5/27/13 - 6/2/13	198	161	353	1.78	0:01:48	72.22%	60.10%	Tue 5/28	Manager's Column in Adobe 5/31 News articles re: bond sales Holiday (possible decreased traffic)

Website Traffic Summary  
2013 Monthly Comparisons

2013	Visits	Chg from Prev Mo	Unique Visitors	Chg from Prev Mo	Page views	Chg from Prev Mo	Pages/Visit	Chg from Prev Mo	Avg. Visit Duration	Chg from Prev Mo	Bounce Rate	Chg from Prev Mo	% New Visits	Chg from Prev Mo
December	1223		880		2898		2.37		0:02:21		51.76%		58.87%	
January	1623	32.71%	1165	32.39%	3741	29.09%	2.3	-2.95%	0:02:23	1.42%	60.14%	16.19%	60.63%	2.99%
February	1734	6.84%	1162	-0.26%	3855	3.05%	2.22	-3.48%	0:02:16	-4.90%	54.21%	-9.86%	55.02%	-9.25%
March	2023	16.67%	1456	25.30%	5086	31.93%	2.51	13.06%	0:02:24	5.88%	49.33%	-9.00%	62.78%	14.10%
April	1735	-14.24%	1194	-17.99%	3920	-22.93%	2.26	-9.96%	0:02:10	-9.72%	59.19%	19.99%	56.95%	-9.29%
May	1318	-24.03%	907	-24.04%	2500	-36.22%	1.9	-15.93%	0:01:44	-20.00%	69.95%	18.18%	56.45%	-0.88%
June														
July														
August														
September														
October														
November														
December														

