- **March 2013**; Total of construction bid exceeds project cost estimate by 24%. Total project construction costs projected to be \$17.8 Million for Phase 1 project.
- April 2013; Board meets to discuss revised projected costs and direct District Finance and Audit Committee to convene and consider a revised Financing Plan based on updated cost projections. Committee meets on April 17 and on April 24, Board approves a revised Financing Plan for the project.
- May 3, 2013; Mesa Community Alliance (MCA) files a law suit in San Luis Obispo County Superior Court raising concerns over the District's plan to use \$4M in Water Replacement funds to finance the project. The Board schedule for consideration of issuing public financing on May 12 is continued.
- **May 10, 2013;** In a Special Meeting, Board approves a revised project financing plan that relies on borrowing \$9M and avoids using Water Replacement funds.
- **May 29 and June 5, 2013**; The court gives favorable initial rulings regarding the scope of the lawsuit filed by MCA which allow the District to move forward with revised alternate funding plan.
- **June 12, 2013**; Board authorizes sale of up to \$10.5M in bonds as part of project financing plan.
- June 19, 2013; Bond sale commences and bonds are priced.
- **June 20, 2013;** In a Special Meeting, the Board approves award of the three project construction contracts contingent on successful bond sale.
- **June 21, 2013;** Bond sale closes and Notice of Award is sent to all three contractors prior to the expiration of bid price guarantees (bids set to expire on June 24 and 26).
- **July 10, 2013**; Construction contracts signed. Phase 1 Notice to Proceed issued to ARB for horizontal directional drill phase of project.
- **November 16, 2013**; Pipeline Installed under Santa Maria River using horizontal direction drill technology.
- July 2013 July 2015; pipeline and Joshua Road pump station construction.
- **July 2, 2015**; Initial deliveries of water begin! 400 gallons per minute, 645 AF scheduled delivery for year one.

Note: All referenced reports and additional information can be found on the District website (ncsd.ca.gov)

## Nipomo Community Services District Supplemental Water History

Updated July 2, 2015

## Chronology

- 1970's and 1980's; Nipomo Community Services Board of Directors (District) expresses concern over groundwater resources in response to County approved development projects across the Nipomo Mesa, including Blacklake Golf Resort.
- **1991**; District customer's Vote to <u>not</u> participate in County led State Water Project.

  The vote is considered 'advisory' and NCSD Board moves forward with participation in the State Water project.
- **1992**; District customers demand and receive a binding vote regarding participation in the State Water project. Customers vote overwhelmingly to <u>not</u> participate in State Water.
- **1993**; Prolonged drought and failing wells leads to emergency annexation of the Summit Station area of the Nipomo Mesa into Nipomo CSD.
- 1994; In the midst of continued drought conditions, the District commissions first study of alternatives for supplementing its groundwater only supply. <u>Evaluation of Alternative Supplemental Water Supplies, Bookman-Edmonston Engineering, Inc. Robert Beeby, Project Manager, July 15, 1994</u>. The report concludes/ recommends: Construct turn-out in Nipomo on CCWA (State Water) pipeline; Negotiate for City of Santa Barbara Desal water; Negotiate for other sources of 'State Water'; Reclaim wastewater at South County Sanitation; Deep fractured rock wells; Groundwater Management District formation and leadership; Conservation.
- **1996**; District attempts, unsuccessfully, to get an 'Emergency Turnout' built on State Water line traversing Nipomo.
- 1997; Santa Maria Valley Groundwater Litigation begins
- 2001; District commissions an updated study of supplemental water alternatives. <u>Evaluation of Water Supply Alternatives, Kennedy/Jenks Consultants, October 2001</u>. Conclusions: Conservation; Intertie with City of Santa Maria; Refinery reclaim water; SLOCSD reclaim to offset agricultural pumping, and; Deep fractured rock wells. Direct connection to State Water is found to be too politically complicated to be viable any longer.
- **2004**; San Luis Obispo County consultant S.S. Papadopulos & Associates completes the *Nipomo Mesa Groundwater Resource Capacity Study, March 2004*. Conclusion is the Mesa area groundwater is in overdraft.

- 2004; District signs MOU with City of SM outlining the future purchase of City water by District. The cost of building an inter-tie connection is estimated at \$4 million based on conceptual river crossing approaches.
- April 2005; District completes <u>Waterline Feasibility Study, Santa Maria River Crossing Alternatives and Probable Cost Estimates, Cannon Associates, April & June 2005</u>. Conclusions horizontal directional drill under the river is best crossing method. Crossing and connection estimated at \$10 million.
- June 2005; Groundwater Court files a settlement Stipulation agreed to by vast majority of litigants. Nipomo CSD–City of Santa Maria Intertie pipeline and supplemental water delivery is incorporated in to settlement as a 'Physical Solution' for the Nipomo Mesa Management Area (NMMA). The other three major municipal water purveyors within the NMMA stipulate to paying for 33% of the first 2,500 Acre-feet of water delivered annually. Additionally, the Stipulation requires all new urban water demands within the NMMA are required to pay fee or deliver supplemental water.
- August 2005; District abandons deep well project in Nipomo Valley \$400K loss
- May 2006; SLO County adopts Ordinance 3090 requiring new subdivisions within Nipomo Mesa Water Conservation Area to pay a supplemental water fee toward the Nipomo/CSM intertie. 3090 requires amendments to the General Plan which increase urban water demand to rely on imported or supplemental water thereby holding amendments until supplemental water is delivered.
- **June 2006**; Preliminary Engineering Memorandum and Cost Estimate for intertie with Santa Maria; Boyle Engineers. Cost opinion = \$25M, capacity 3000 AFY project. Board suspends work on design and orders a review of alternatives and costs.
- June 26, 2006; SLO County Board of Supervisors certify a Level of Severity III (most severe) for Nipomo Mesa water resource. Certification is based on the 2004 Resource Capacity Study.
- 2007; District completes a series of Technical Memoranda (<u>Evaluation of Supplemental Water Alternatives</u>, <u>Boyle</u>, <u>June 2007; Evaluation of Desalination as a Source of Supplemental Supply</u>, <u>Boyle</u>, <u>September 2007; Evaluation of Water Supply from CCWA/State Water Pipeline</u>, <u>Boyle</u>, <u>November 2007</u>), evaluating alternatives for supplemental water supply intertie with SM found most feasible and cost effective</u>. Board directs intertie project design be restarted and completed as quickly as possible.
- 2007 2011; District works to complete project design and construction cost detail. District works with County and project partners to define a funding approach for raising capital.
- **January 2008**; Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara, issues *Final Judgment in Santa Maria Groundwater Litigation*. Court "... approves the Stipulation,

- orders the Stipulating Parties to comply with each and every term thereof, ...." Case is appealed by non-stipulating parties.
- October 2008; SLO County adopts Ordinance 3160 based on findings of 'overdraft' of groundwater underlying Nipomo Mesa Water Conservation Area. Ordinance implements conservation measures such as toilet retrofits.
- **March 2009**; NCSD/City of Santa Maria waterline intertie project Environmental Impact Report certified.
- **January 2010**; District enters a Water Wholesale Agreement with City of Santa Maria this agreement replaces/supersedes the 2004 sales memorandum.
- **January 2011**; intertie project is awarded \$2.3M grant of State water bond funds through County coordinated grant requests.
- **May 2012** Assessment District formation to fund \$19 million of the intertie pipeline infrastructure is defeated by property owners.
- **June 2012**, District revises policy and ceases processing of applications for new water service (Moratorium).
- **August 2012**, Board of Directors appoints an independent volunteer citizen's committee ("Supplemental Water Alternatives Review Committee") to conduct a fourth formal review of alternatives for supplemental water.
- **October 2012**, District approves feasibility study of a phased intertie pipeline with Santa Maria and authorizes design to proceed.
- **November 2012,** CA Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District, upholds the majority of the Superior Court original ruling. (Ruling filed 11/21/12). Non-stipulating parties petition CA Supreme court to review the case.
- **February 2013**; District receives Final report from citizen's committee. The Committee ranks an intertie pipeline with City of Santa Maria as most feasible and cost effective near-term (5-10) source of supplemental water. Committee recommends the District and other Mesa water users redouble efforts in conservation, educations, and groundwater basin management.
- **February 2013**; District approves funding plan for a \$14 Million Phase 1 intertie pipeline and authorizes staff to bid the construction project. Construction award is scheduled for end of April with a construction schedule of May 2013- September 2014.
- **February 2013**; Board reestablishes processing of applications for new water connections.
- **February 2013**; Supreme Court of CA denies appellants petition for review of Groundwater ruling effectively marking the end of sixteen-year adjudication.